

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/751,360 01/05/2004		Jin-Woo Park	062020-1380	2196	
24504	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER		
	KAYDEN, HORSTE	TUGBANG, ANTHONY D			
STE 1750	RIA PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA,	GA 30339-5948	3729			

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-			10			
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/751,36	30	PARK ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		A. Dexter	<u> </u>	3729				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	íress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a comperior of the provision of t	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the stat fod will apply and w tute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply lutory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this coroner (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 May 2005.						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-25 is/are with declaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from coi						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) he drawing(s) brection is requir	oe held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have bee ents have bee riority documo eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Appli ents have been rec e 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National S	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/25/04</u> .	08)		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Group I, Claims 1-9, in the reply filed on 5/9/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all of the inventions can be searched without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the searches for all of the inventions of Groups I through IV would be non-coextensive, as this would require a serious burden on the examiner to search and examine all of the invention.

The applicant(s) further argue that the invention of Groups I and III are not independent and distinct. The examiner most respectfully disagrees. In addition to each of these inventions belonging to different statutory classes inventions, i.e. (Class 336 - structures of inductors and Class 29 - process of making inductors), the product of Group III can be made by a materially different process, such as the screen printing process mentioned in the last Office Action, or by metal casting or molding techniques that require no deposition, as required by Group I. This material difference presents two distinct lines of patentability between the inventions of Group I and III and would even require the application of different art and different case law. These factors are also taken into consideration as to why the searches would present a severe burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 10-25 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/9/05.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,360 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the use of already implied language, i.e. "...are disclosed" (line 3 of page 19 in the specification). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A Method for Manufacturing an Inductor Core.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the order" (line 2) should be recited as --an order--; and "the skin depth" (line 2) should be recited as --a skin depth--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 2, the phrase of "the depositing" (line 1) is confusing and misleading rendering the claim as being vague and indefinite. For example, there are at least three different depositing steps previously recited (lines 3-5 of Claim 1) and it is unclear which of these previous steps are being referred to, or are all of the steps being referred to.

In Claim 4, the same problem occurs with the recitation of "the deposited materials" (lines 2-3) as with Claim 2 in that it is unclear as to which previous materials (at lines 3-5 of Claim 1) are being referred to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al 5,283,942.

Chen discloses a method of manufacturing an inductor core (see sequence of Figs. 8A through 8F) comprising: depositing a first layer of ferromagnetic material 44; depositing a first layer of sacrificial conductive material 48; depositing a support structure (insulating layer 52);

and removing the sacrificial conductive material thereby leaving the first layer of ferromagnetic material mechanically supported by the support structure (see sequence of Figs. 8D to 8E).

Regarding Claim(s) 5, the support structure (insulating layer 52) of Chen is considered to be U-shaped (in Fig. 8C).

Regarding Claim(s) 7, the sacrificial conductive material 48 is copper (see col. 5, lines 55-58).

Regarding Claim(s) 8, the inductor core of Chen has an inherent operating frequency and the thickness of the first layer of ferromagnetic material 44 (shown in Fig. 8F) is on an order of a skin depth of the material at that operating frequency.

Regarding Claim(s) 9, Chen further teaches removing the sacrificial conductive material by selective etching (see col. 5, lines 55-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al.

Chen discloses the claimed manufacturing method further including creating a core mold (photoresist layer not shown, discussed at col. 5, lines 65+) atop a substrate where the core mold (photoresist layer) is shaped such that deposited materials of the first ferromagnetic material and

Art Unit: 3729

the first sacrificial conductive material 48 are formed in a preferable manner (result shown in Fig. 8B), as required in Claim 4. Chen further teaches that the first layer of ferromagnetic material and the first layer of sacrificial conductive material are each deposited in a repetitive and stacked manner, as required in Claim 3.

Chen discloses substantially all of the limitations of the claimed manufacturing method except: 1) that the deposition of the first layer of ferromagnetic material and the first layer of sacrificial conductive material is performed by electrodeposition; 2) that the support structure is deposited by electrodeposition; and 3) that the first layer of ferromagnetic material is permalloy.

The examiner takes Official Notice that electrodepositing layers of ferromagnetic materials, sacrificial conductive materials of copper, and support structures of Al₂O₃, are all conventional, old, and notoriously well known coating techniques in the art, all of which are necessary to achieve a certain pattern and thickness of the layered materials. As evidence of obviousness, the examiner cites Croll (U. S. Patent 3,350,180) to show examples that ferromagnetic materials and sacrificial conductive materials of copper can be formed by electrodeposition. The examiner also cites Japanese Patent Publication JP 64-47880, to show that support structures of Al₂O₃ can also be formed by electrodeposition of chemical vapor deposition.

With respect to the first layer of ferromagnetic material being permalloy, the examiner again takes <u>Official Notice</u> that this is an old, conventional, and notoriously well known material for ferromagnetic materials. As evidence of obviousness, the examiner notes that Chen has another embodiment that suggests ferromagnetic materials can be formed of the conventional material of permalloy (see col. 6, lines 47-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,360 Page 7

Art Unit: 3729

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method of Chen by electrodepositing the ferromagnetic materials, sacrificial conductive materials, and support structures, as well as using permalloy as the material of the first layer of ferromagnetic material, since these are conventional and well known coating techniques and materials, all of which positively contribute to the patterning and thickness of the layered materials.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 8:30 am 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,360

Art Unit: 3729

Page 8

A. Dexter Tugbang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3729

July 24, 2005